

1 Ryan Lower (SBN 9108)  
2 rml@morrislawgroup.com  
3 MORRIS LAW GROUP  
4 900 Bank of America Plaza  
5 300 South Fourth Street  
Las Vegas, NV 89101  
Telephone: (702) 474-9400  
Facsimile: (702) 474-9422

6 Douglas W. Sullivan (pro hac vice)  
7 dsullivan@crowell.com  
8 Joel D. Smith (pro hac vice)  
9 jsmith@crowell.com  
10 CROWELL & MORING LLP  
11 275 Battery Street, 23rd Floor  
12 San Francisco, CA 94111  
13 Telephone: (415) 986-2800  
14 Facsimile: (415) 986-2827

12 Attorneys for Defendant AT&T Digital Life, Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 KIRBY SPENCER, individually and on ) CASE NO. 2:14-cv-01136-RFB-(PAL)  
11 behalf of all others similarly situated, )  
12 Plaintiff, )  
13 v. )  
14 AT&T DIGITAL LIFE, INC.'S REQUEST  
15 FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF  
16 SECOND MOTION FOR SUMMARY  
17 JUDGMENT  
18 )  
19 )  
20 )  
21 )  
22 )  
Defendant. )

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant AT&T Digital Life, Inc. (“AT&T”) hereby  
 3 respectfully requests that the Court take judicial notice of the documents set forth below (together  
 4 with their contents) pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201.

5 Rule 201 permits a court to take judicial notice of facts that are “not subject to reasonable  
 6 dispute in that [they are] either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial  
 7 court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy  
 8 cannot reasonably be questioned.” Fed. R. Evid. 201(b). Judicial notice is mandatory if a court is  
 9 “requested by a party and supplied with the necessary information.” Fed. R. Evid. 201(d).  
 10 Accordingly, AT&T respectfully requests that the Court take judicial notice of the following  
 11 documents cited in AT&T’s concurrently-filed Second Motion for Summary Judgment:

12 **A. Federal Agency Document:** FCC document titled, “Consumer Guide: Unwanted  
 13 Telephone Marketing Calls” (Oct. 8, 2014), accessed from <http://www.fcc.gov/guides/unwanted-telephone-marketing-calls> on December 5, 2014. A true and correct copy of this document is  
 14 attached as Exhibit A to this Request for Judicial Notice and also as Exhibit 11 to the Declaration  
 15 of Joel D. Smith In Support Of AT&T Digital Life, Inc.’s Second Motion For Summary  
 16 Judgment.

17 Public documents and reports of administrative agencies, including the FCC Consumer  
 18 Guide attached as Exhibit A, are proper subjects for judicial notice under Rule 201. *See*  
 19 *Satterfield v. Simon & Schuster, Inc.*, 569 F.3d 946, 952 n.2 (9th Cir. 2009) (citing FCC  
 20 Consumer Guide: Unwanted Telephone Marketing Calls); *Interstate Natural Gas Co. v. S. Cal.*  
 21 *Gas Co.*, 209 F.2d 380, 385 (9th Cir. 1953) (“We may take judicial notice of records and reports  
 22 of administrative bodies”); *U.S. ex rel. Calilung v. Ormat Indus., Ltd.*, 2015 WL 1321029 \*8 (D.  
 23 Nev. March 24, 2015) (taking judicial notice of agency reports); *Ang v. Bimbo Bakeries USA,*  
 24 *Inc.*, 2013 WL 5407039 \*9 n.10 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2013) (taking judicial notice of FDA  
 25 Compliance Policy Guide).

26 **B. Federal Agency Document:** June 18, 2015 FCC press release titled, “FCC

1 Strengthens Consumer Protections Against Unwanted Calls and Texts," accessed from  
 2 <https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-strengthens-consumer-protections-against-unwanted-calls-and-texts> on September 29, 2015. A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit  
 3 B to this Request for Judicial Notice and also as Exhibit 19 to the Declaration of Joel D. Smith In  
 4 Support Of AT&T Digital Life, Inc.'s Second Motion For Summary Judgment.

5 Press releases issued by government agencies are proper subjects for judicial notice under  
 6 Rule 201. *See Arce v. Douglas*, 793 F.3d 968, 975 n.3 (9th Cir. 2015) (taking judicial notice of  
 7 press release issued by state superintendent of public schools); *Taleff v. Sw. Airlines Co.*, 554 Fed.  
 8 Appx. 598, 599 n.1 (9th Cir. 2014) (taking judicial notice of Department of Justice press release);  
 9 *Gustavson v. Mars, Inc.*, 2014 WL 2604774 at \*3 n.1 (N.D. Cal. June 10, 2014) (taking judicial  
 10 notice of FDA press release available on FDA website).

12 Dated: November 25, 2015

13 CROWELL & MORING, LLP

14 By: /s/ Joel D. Smith

15 Joel D. Smith  
 16 Attorneys for Defendant  
 17 AT&T Digital Life, Inc.

18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Joel D. Smith, state:

My business address is 275 Battery Street, 23rd Floor, San Francisco, California 94111. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this action.

On the date set forth below, I served via electronic service the foregoing document(s) described as:

**AT&T DIGITAL LIFE, INC.'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL  
NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF SECOND MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

on the following person(s) in this action:

Craig Perry  
Craig K. Perry & Associates  
8010 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 260  
Las Vegas, NV 89117  
phone: (702) 228-4777  
Fax: (702) 943-7520  
Email: cperry@craigperry.com

Evan Meyers  
McGuire Law, P.C.  
55 W. Wacker Drive, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, IL, 60601  
Phone: (312) 893-7002  
Fax: (312) 275-7895  
emeyers@mcgpc.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

*Attorney for Plaintiff*

DATED: November 25, 2015

BY: /s/ Joel D. Smith

Joel D. Smith